

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/478,799	01/07/2000	Masanobu Hayama	23.1090	2190	
21171 7	7590 07/17/2002				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			ANYASO, UC	ANYASO, UCHENDU O	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675	110	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	퐤	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/478,799	HAYAMA ET AL.			
naviosity notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Uchendu O Anyaso	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper (s).					
10. Other:	The !	lly			
	STEVEN SAR				
	SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT				
	TEGINIOLOGI OLIVI				

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 909/478,799



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant amended independent claims 1 and 10 to include a format change-over switch, and a data transmission means for transmitting information detected by the detection means as a set of operation instructions for a computer and adapted to effect transmission in a format when the format change-over switch is not depressed and to effect another transmission in a second format when the format change-over switch is depressed. These newly added aspects of claims 1 and 10 presents a burden to the Examiner as they raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.